



**LIFE Project Number**

**LIFE12 ENV/FIN/000409**

**2nd summary report of snow data**

**Reporting Date**

**30/09/2015**

**LIFE+ PROJECT NAME or Acronym**

**Climate change indicators and vulnerability of boreal zone  
applying innovative observation and modelling techniques**

**Data Project**

|                              |            |
|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>Project location</b>      | Helsinki   |
| <b>Project start date:</b>   | 02/09/2013 |
| <b>Project end date:</b>     | 01/09/2017 |
| <b>Total budget:</b>         | 2755288 €  |
| <b>EC contribution:</b>      | 1366952 €  |
| <b>(%) of eligible costs</b> | 49.61      |

**Data Beneficiary**

|                         |   |
|-------------------------|---|
| <b>Name Beneficiary</b> | Finnish Environment Institute                     |
| <b>Contact person</b>   | Sari Metsämäki                                    |
| <b>Authors</b>          | Sari Metsämäki, Kristin Böttcher                  |
| <b>Postal address</b>   | P.O. Box 140 (Mechelininkatu 34a), 00251 Helsinki |
| <b>Telephone</b>        |   |
| <b>Fax:</b>             | +358 9 5490 2690                                  |
| <b>E-mail</b>           | sari.metsämäki@ymparisto.fi                       |
| <b>Project Website</b>  | monimet.fmi.fi                                    |

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| List of abbreviations .....                                    | 2  |
| 1 Summary .....  | 3  |
| 2 Snow data .....  | 3  |
| 2.1 SYKE snow courses .....                                    | 3  |
| 2.2 FMI weather station e-codes .....                          | 5  |
| 2.3 Russian RIHMI weather station data .....                   | 7  |
| 3 End of snow melt date from weather station observations..... | 9  |
| 4 References .....   | 11 |

## List of abbreviations

|        |   |
|--------|---|
| FMI    | Finnish Meteorological Institute  |
| e-code | A code from FMI weather station network describing the snow conditions in the surroundings. |
| FSC    | Fractional Snow Cover   |
| SYKE   | Finnish Environment Institute   |
| WMO    | World Meteorological Organization   |
| RIHMI  | Russian Research Institute for Hydro-meteorological Information                             |

## 1 Summary

This document provides an update to the deliverable report “First summary report of snow data” (30/092014) and replaces the first report. We give a general description of the *in situ* observations used in the calibration and validation of snow cover extent (2011-2014) and the end of snow melt (2001-2014). The *in situ* datasets include observations on snow patchiness made at the snow courses by the Finnish Environment Institute SYKE (starting from 1950s), snow e-code observations from FMI weather stations and snow observations from Russian weather stations. Furthermore, the end of snow melt was determined from time series of snow depth and e-codes at weather stations in Finland.

## 2 Snow data

### 2.1 SYKE snow courses


Ground reference data feasible for evaluation of fractional snow cover (FSC) retrievals is often relatively difficult to obtain. This is because FSC is typically registered by human observers. Moreover, fractional snow typically varies rather widely in space and time, and therefore single point observations are not necessarily representative of the local spatial variation. This representation also depends on the landscape character and other prevailing conditions. The observations should be conducted over an area corresponding to the pixel size of the applied satellite sensor, and the timing should match the satellite overpass at least so that no major changes in snow cover occur. The snow course network governed by the Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE) has a heritage from the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The network consists of ~160 courses which are visited on a monthly basis. A snow course is a 2-4 km long transect passing through different landscapes; the observer registers the snow information typically at 80 locations along the transect. The observations include snow depth (SD, measured with a stick), snow density (measured with a snow tube) and fraction (%) of snow-free ground (visually estimated for an area within a 25 m radius of the observer's location). Hence,  $FSC = 100\% - \text{Fraction of snow-free ground}$ . The map of the Finnish snow courses and the trail of a snow course plotted over a digital photograph are shown in Fig. 1.

Note: the four squares shown in the Fig 1 (right) correspond to  $1 \times 1 \text{ km}^2$  snow product pixels that cover the course; at least these pixels should be used when comparing the snow product against the *in situ* observations along the course. Also a larger window (e.g.  $3 \times 3$  product pixels) can be used. In either case, an average of the selected product pixels is taken and compared with the average FSC calculated from the observations along the snow course.

Proper *in situ* observations are particularly difficult to obtain when only trace amounts of snow are present. In a scale of a pixel, these easily remain unnoticed by human observers if only several samples are taken at ground level. The route of each snow course maintained by SYKE is individually planned so that it should represent a locality of a few square kilometres. The trail goes through different landscapes in order to catch the differences in snow conditions; the information of the prevailing landscape is assigned to each measurement location. The landscapes are: pine forest, spruce forest, mixed forest, broad-leaf forest, forest opening and open bog.

**Table 1. Measurements performed at each snow course.**

| Parameter             | Description  | # meas./site | Unit              |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|-------------------|
| Snow depth            | - at least 10 for each land cover type   | 50 or 80     | cm                |
| Snow patchiness       | - the % terrain covered by snow<br>- at least 10 for each land cover type                                    | 50 or 80     | %                 |
| Snow water equivalent | - the water content/m <sup>2</sup> if the snow pack would be melted<br>- at least 1 for each land cover type | 10 or 8      | [mm] w.e.         |
| Snow density          | - at least 1 for each land cover type  | 10 or 8      | kg/m <sup>3</sup> |



**Figure 1. Left: Location of active snow courses in 2013, Right: an example of the route of a snow course.**

*Actions related to the snow course data:*

Snow course observation are extracted from hydrological data bases in SYKE and further processed to allow comparisons with satellite observations related to snow properties. The following data fields are retained in the final Excel-file: 1. Snow course ID, 2. coordinates (lat,long) in WGS-84 system, 3. Date of observation, 4. Snow depth, 5. Patchiness, 6.

*Landcover type.* The landcover-specific average values are also calculated and provided as separate Matlab-tables, so that one table is created for each individual snow course visit.

As a new feature concerning the processing of Snow course data: Patchiness was converted to Fraction of snow-covered area;  $FSC=100-Patchiness$  (%), to be consistent with the EO-retrievals. In addition, the matlab-files including the visit-specific average for each Landcover type now include also standard deviations for Snow Depth and for FSC. These would be useful when interpreting the results of in-situ validations and also when developing new approaches for validation.


For five selected snow courses around Sodankylä-Pallas area a historical time series has been processed and is available for the last 30 years period.

## 2.2 FMI weather station e-codes

The weather station network of the Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI) in Finland consists of ~250 stations, where observations on snow depth (point-wise) and snow coverage (within the range of vision) are made on a daily basis. Figure 2 presents the locations of the weather stations. Snow coverage is described with a particular e-code following the definitions presented by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), see Table 2. Observations of snow coverage were discontinued at many of the stations in 2009 due to the automation of observing protocols, but in many stations it is still recorded.

**Table 2. Description of e-codes.**


| <b>E-code</b> | <b>Description</b>  |
|---------------|---|
| 0             | Dry snow free terrain, vegetation can be covered by moisture from dew or fog. |
| 1             | Wet snow free terrain.  |
| 2             | Snow free terrain with water ponds.   |
| 3             | Terrain frosted or covered with surface ice.                                  |
| 4             | Open terrain snow free, some snow in forested areas.                          |
| 5             | Snow covering over 0%, but less than 50% of the terrain.                      |
| 6             | Wet or re-frozen snow covering over 50% but less than 100% of the terrain.    |
| 7             | Wet or re-frozen snow covering 100% of the terrain.                           |
| 8             | Dry, loose snow covering over 50%, but less than 100% of the terrain.         |
| 9             | Dry, loose snow covering 100% of the terrain.                                 |



**Figure 2. FMI weather stations with e-code observation.**

Although expected to represent the average snow conditions in the locality, the snow observations may introduce a bias towards less snow than is actually present in the surrounding area, corresponding e.g. to a pixel of a satellite image. This is because each weather station is located in an open area, where snow typically disappears earlier than in forest areas. In order to evaluate how well the point-wise observation applies to a larger surrounding area, the correspondence between the e-code and the nearby same day's snow course FSC – providing a better spatial distribution – was analysed and found to be reasonable. This correspondence is considered to be an indication of spatial representativeness of point-wise observations in the scale of pixel-size of the Earth-observation imagery used in Monimet-project. Figure 3 presents the results of the analysis. The spatial representativeness is further supported by the good correlation between snow depth observations, again from the weather stations and snow courses within a distance of <4 km for data from years 1991-2008. The correlation coefficient is 0.94, with a root mean squared (RMS) difference of 10.1 cm, indicating that for snow depth, point-wise observations also represent the snow conditions in a larger spatial domain.

For comparing the e-code and the snow product, a product pixel centered nearest to the station location is identified. FSC from this one pixel or from a larger window (e.g. average FSC from 3×3 pixels) can be employed in the comparisons.



**Figure 3. Correspondence of snow depth from weather stations and from snow courses.**

*Action related to weather station data:*

E-code observations have been extracted from FMI data bases and processed for the comparison with satellite observations on snow cover. The data fields retained in the final Excel-file are the following: 1. *coordinates (lat,long) in WGS-84 system*, 2. *Date of observation*, 3. *Snow depth*, 4. *e-code*.

### 2.3 Russian RIHMI weather station data

In addition to the Finnish in-situ data, the data near the area of Finland would benefit the evaluation of Monimet snow products. The standard suite of measurements from weather stations in the Russian Research Institute for Hydro-meteorological Information (RIHMI) network include observations of snow cover (Bulygina et al. 2015a). Snow depth, the fraction of snow cover around the station and information on snow characteristics around the station are daily measured and observed. The data is also flagged with quality measures based on: general snow conditions, consecutive snow depth measurements, and temperature data.

For Monimet purposes observation sites (11 sites) covering areas west from longitude of 32°E (eastern limit of the Monimet target area) was extracted and is ready to be used. For Monimet purposes – since not having earlier experience of this dataset, observations for snow season 2011-2012 was first fetched. This dataset covers more than 4000 daily observations for the observation period from 1.10.2011 to 30.9.2012. The data fields retained in the final Excel-file are the following:

StationID; latitude; longitude; ease2north; ease2east; elevation; Time; snowDepth; FractionalSnowCover; qualityFlagGeneral; qualityFlagSD; qualityFlagTemp.

Data is basically provided as open access; SYKE has now registered as a data user, which was the requirement set by RIHMI. The instructions for using the data are also available at SYKE.

**Table 3. Basic information, measurements and flags of the snow observations at RIHMI weather stations.**

| <i>Basic Information</i>  |                            |
|---|----------------------------|
| World Meteorological Organization (WMO) index number (5-sigit code)   |                            |
| Year, month and day   |                            |
| <i>Measurements</i>   | <i>Units/ Uncertainty</i>  |
| Snow height in cm<br>Notes: value 9999 – the observation was rejected or observation was not made   | [cm]/ ±1cm                 |
| Extent of snow cover around the station is estimated from 0-10 describing fractional snow cover of (0-100%)<br>Notes: value 99 – the observation was rejected or observation was not made               | %, depends on the observer |
| <i>General Snow Status Flags</i>  |                            |
| Value of snow depth is correct  |                            |
| More than 50% of the area around the station  |                            |
| Snow cover absent at site, however snow is recorded in the vicinity of the station  |                            |
| Snow cover is less than 0.5 cm  |                            |
| Observations were not made or snow depth value was rejected   |                            |
| <i>Snow depth quality flags</i>   |                            |
| Value of snow depth is correct  |                            |
| Value is doubtful on conditions that $Abs(D)>30$ & $abs(\Delta H1)>10$ & $abs(\Delta H2)>10$<br>Notes: $D=\Delta H2-\Delta H1$ , $\Delta H$ is the difference between the neighboring snow depth values |                            |
| <i>Temperature Flags</i>  |                            |
| Value of snow depth is correct  |                            |
| $H^=0$ & $T_{mean} >5$ & $T_{min}>0$  |                            |


### 3 End of snow melt date from weather station observations

Action B.2 provided maps on the timing of end of snow melt (Melt-off Day, MoD) in Finland covering the period from 2001 to 2014. The detection of MoD was based on the pan-European Snow Extent product from the Copernicus Service Snow and Land Ice (CryoLand, <http://www.cryoland.eu/>) with a spatial resolution of  $0.005^\circ \times 0.005^\circ$ .


For the evaluation of satellite-derived MoD maps with *in situ* observations from weather stations, we determined the end of snow melt date from time series of snow depth and e-codes. Snow depth and e-code were extracted from the FMI data bases for the period from 2001 to 2014. The day of the first snow cover, the first snow-free day and the number of days with snow cover were determined from the time series of snow depth and e-codes for all available stations and observation years. We used two threshold values, zero and 1 cm, for the determination of the end of melt from observations of snow depths (sd). For both thresholds, the following fields were saved to a separate MATLAB-file: 1. weather station ID, 2. coordinates (latitude, longitude in WGS-84 system), the date of first snow observation from 1<sup>st</sup> of January, the number of days with snow cover and the first snow-free date (sd=0 cm and sd ≤ 1 cm, respectively). Additionally, the completeness of the time series was checked and the number of missing observations was retained in the file. The retrieved values were set to 'no data' in case of missing observations before the first snow-free observation.

Figure 3 shows the comparison of end of snow melt day-of-year in 2008 based on the different sd thresholds. In most cases the same day for both methods (71/97) was obtained, but in several cases the melt-off was detected earlier based on the threshold sd=1cm. The maximum deviation between the two methods was 24 days for 2008.

We determined the end of melt also from e-codes observations when available from weather stations. Snow-free ground is observed when e-code ≤ 3. Figure 4 shows a comparison between the end of snow melt (day-of-year) from snow depth (threshold 0 cm) and e-codes for year 2008. E-code observations indicate in most cases a later melt-off than obtained from snow depth.



**Figure 3. Comparison of end of snow melt (day-of-year, DoY) in Finland in 2008 from snow depth based on thresholds 0 and 1 cm. The blue line shows the 1:1 line.**



**Figure 4. Comparison of end of snow melt (day-of-years, DoY) from snow depth (threshold 0 cm) and e-code observations in Finland in 2008. The blue line shows the 1:1 line.**

## 4 References

Bulygina, O.N., Razuvaev, V.N., & Aleksandrova., T.M. (2015a). Description of data set “Routine Snow Surveys” In. <http://meteo.ru/english/climate/descrip9.htm>